

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/561,015	02/17/2006	Dan P. Felsenfeld	02420/100M761-US1	9430
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 01/05/201 ARBY P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	)	WANG, CHANG YU		
Church Street New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1649	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/561,015	FELSENFELD ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CHANG-YU WANG	1649		

•	LAUIIIIICI	Aironne	1
	CHANG-YU WANG	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request
periods:	detection of the first state of the first		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, whi	chover ie later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 December 2009. A	brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41	37 must be filed with	in two months of
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repli	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disi	nissal of the
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause
(a)   ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendme	nt canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is promoted to the proposed amendment of the proposed amend</li></ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6,7 and 18-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-4.8-17 and 25</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will no	he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO(DD(D)) D		
<ul> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> <li>13. Other:</li> </ul>	(P10/56/08) Paper No(s)		
13. [_] Other:			
/C.Y. W./	/Christine J Saoud/		
Examiner, Art Unit 1649	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1647	

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: a) The claims have been amended so the scope of the invention is changed. The claims encompass new limitations, which would raise potential new grounds of rejection, so further search and/or consideration is required, b) proposed amended claim 6 may overcome the prior art rejection but it would raise an issue of new matter because the spec does not teach an ankyrin binding domain that does not contain transmembrane and extracellular domains of the L1-CAM family. In addition, proposed claim 19 would raise an issue of 16x6 of written description and proposed claim 29 would also raise an issue of 1712-2nd because the claim would be broader than claim 6. Thus, the amendment does not simplify the issues of the rejections and further does not place the application in better condition for appeal or allowance.

However, proposed amended claims 7, 20, and 24 would be allowable, and proposed amended claim 23 would be objected to because the claim depends on rejected claim 6.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are insufficient to overcome the rejection under 112-1st, lack of scope of enablement and the rejections under 102(b) & 103(c). The rejections are maintained for the reasons made of record in the office action mailed 6/230/30 as directed to the previously presented claim limitations. Applicant's amendment filed on 12/18/09 has not been entered. Thus, the arguments directed to claim amendments not entered are currently moot.

/CYW/ 12/30/09